MEDICINE AND MATERIALS
Volume 5, Issue 1, 2025: 37-42 | ISSN: 2784 – 1499 & e-ISSN: 2784 – 1537
DOI: 10.36868/MEDMATER.2025.05.01.037 | www.medicineandmaterials.com |

OVERVIEW ON 3D BIOPRINTING FOR RENAL TISSUE REGENERATION AND TRANSPLANTATION

Alina Mihaela CALIN [1], Cicerone Catalin GRIGORESCU [2],*

[1] Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, University "Dunarea de Jos" Galati, Romania
[2] Department of Anatomy, "Titu Maiorescu" University, Bucharest, Romania

Abstract

3D bioprinting represents a groundbreaking technology in regenerative medicine, offering innovative solutions for renal tissue regeneration and transplantation. This review explores recent advances in the field, focusing on the recreation of functional renal structures, the development of preclinical models, and the creation of bioartificial organs. Technologies such as extrusion-based bioprinting, inkjet printing, and laser-assisted methods enable the precise fabrication of renal tissues that mimic natural functions like filtration and reabsorption. Despite significant progress, challenges such as vascularization, immune compatibility, and scalability remain major barriers to clinical implementation. Nonetheless, the integration of advanced materials, cell technologies, and imaging tools holds promise for overcoming these obstacles. The potential benefits include reduced dependence on organ donors, personalized treatment options, and accelerated drug discovery processes. This abstract highlights the transformative potential of 3D bioprinting in addressing the critical demand for functional renal tissues, paving the way for future breakthroughs in kidney transplantation and regenerative therapies.

Keywords*:* 3D bioprinting, renal regeneration, bioartificial organs, kidney transplantation, tissue engineering, regenerative medicine.

Introduction

Chronic kidney failure is a major global health problem, affecting millions of patients and placing a significant burden on healthcare systems. Current treatment options include dialysis and kidney transplantation, but these have significant limitations. Dialysis provides only a partial replacement of kidney function, and kidney transplantation is limited by the small number of donors and the risk of immunological rejection. In this context, the development of innovative solutions, such as regenerative medicine and three-dimensional (3D) bioprinting, becomes essential [1-3].

3D bioprinting, an emerging technology in regenerative medicine, offers the opportunity to create complex biological structures such as functional tissues and organs. It combines bioengineering, biocompatible materials, and living cells to reconstruct structures that mimic the architecture and natural functions of organs. In the case of kidneys, 3D bioprinting can help overcome current barriers by generating personalized kidney tissue, usable for both tissue regeneration and bioartificial transplants [1-4].

Corresponding author: ana.kirilov@yahoo.com

The use of this technology in the regeneration of kidney tissue offers huge potential due to the ability to recreate complex structures such as nephrons and blood vessels. By integrating advances in cell biology and bioprintable materials, 3D bioprinting can help develop customized solutions tailored to the needs of each patient. In addition, this technology promises to reduce dependence on organ donors, thus addressing a crucial problem in modern medicine [1,2,4].

The main objective of this review is to analyze recent advances in 3D bioprinting for kidney tissue regeneration and its use in kidney transplantation. The fundamental principles of bioprinting, its advantages, and limitations, as well as its practical applications, will be discussed. The technical, ethical, and clinical challenges that need to be overcome to integrate 3D bioprinting into medical practice will also be presented. Finally, future research directions will be explored, with a focus on the innovations needed to make 3D bioprinting a viable solution for patients with kidney failure.

3D bioprinting is a revolutionary technology with the potential to fundamentally change the approach to kidney failure. By generating functional tissues and organs, this technology can give patients a chance at a normal life while reducing the burden on healthcare systems and improving access to advanced treatments [2-5].

3D bioprinting: fundamentals and applications

3D bioprinting is an innovative technology in regenerative medicine, which uses specialized printers to create three-dimensional biological structures, such as tissues and organs. This technology is based on the principle of successive layering of biocompatible materials and living cells, thus replicating the complex architecture of natural structures. 3D bioprinting combines advances in bioengineering, cell biology, and printing technology, offering new opportunities in tissue regeneration and personalized transplantation [5-10].

Table 1. The main technologies used in 3D bioprinting [5-10].

Technology	Working principle	Advantages	Disadvantages	Applications
Extrusion-based bioprinting	Layer-by-layer deposition of bio ink in the form of continuous filaments using syringes or nozzles.	Compatible with a wide range of bioinks; suitable for large and complex structures; relatively affordable.	Lower resolution compared to other technologies; risk of nozzle clogging; longer printing times.	Creating soft tissues such as cartilage and skin; large vascular structures.
Inkjet bioprinting	Deposition of bioink droplets on a substrate, layer by layer, using an inkjet printhead.	Fast, precise, and cost-effective; suitable for small structures; high cell viability.	Limited to simpler structures; not suitable for high-viscosity bioinks.	Preclinical research models; drug testing.
Stereolithography Bioprinting	Using a light beam to solidify successive layers of biocompatible material in a liquid medium.	Very high resolution; ability to create fine details and complex structures; suitable for photosensitive materials.	High costs; requires photosensitive materials; more complex technology.	Complex tissues, such as detailed vascular structures or micro-organisms.
Laser-assisted bioprinting	Transfer of a bio ink layer activated by a laser pulse onto the printing substrate, forming precise structures.	Excellent resolution; no direct contact between bioink and tool; suitable for handling fragile cells.	Complex and expensive; requires precise laser configurations; challenging to scale for large structures.	Creating delicate biological structures; handling stem cells and proteins.

Each technology offers unique benefits, ranging from high precision to compatibility with various bioinks, but also presents specific limitations, such as cost and complexity, which impact their practical implementation in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine

Bioprintable materials, called bio-inks, are essential for the success of the technology. The most commonly used materials include hydrogels (such as alginate, collagen, and gelatin), due to their biocompatibility and ability to support living cells. These are complemented by stem cells, which play a crucial role in the regeneration of functional tissues [8-10].

Applications in renal tissue regeneration

In the context of kidney tissue regeneration, 3D bioprinting allows the recreation of critical structures, such as the nephrons, the functional units of the kidney. By combining kidney cells with biocompatible materials, researchers can obtain three-dimensional structures capable of mimicking the filtration and resorption functions of the kidney. This technology is also used to create preclinical models, useful in testing new therapies [11-17].

Table 2. This table highlights the key applications of 3D bioprinting in renal tissue regeneration, including the recreation of functional structures, drug testing models, bioartificial organ development, and vascularization techniques. Each application is detailed with its description, the technologies used, associated challenges, and potential benefits, offering an in-depth perspective on how bioprinting is transforming renal regenerative medicine [11-17].

Application	Description	Technologies used	Challenges	Benefits
Recreation of functional renal tubules	Using renal epithelial cells to create three-dimensional structures that mimic natural filtration and reabsorption functions.	Extrusion-based bioprinting using hydrogels and renal epithelial cells.	Achieving fully functional and durable structures.	Provides an alternative solution for chronic kidney failure.
Drug testing on renal models	Three-dimensional renal tissue models are used for testing the safety and efficacy of new drugs.	Inkjet bioprinting, organ-on-a-chip models.	Accurately reproducing renal microarchitecture and ensuring long-term viability.	Accelerates drug development processes and reduces the use of animal models.
Development of bioartificial organs	Creating bioartificial kidneys to completely replace the function of the native organ for transplantation.	Stereolithography bioprinting and laser-assisted technologies.	Overcoming issues related to immune rejection and vascularization.	Reduces dependency on human donors and the risk of immune rejection.
Preclinical models for studying renal diseases	Using bioprinted structures to study renal pathologies and develop innovative therapies.	Extrusion-based bioprinting combined with advanced imaging technologies.	Creating models that faithfully replicate the complexity of human diseases.	Facilitates the discovery of new therapies for complex renal diseases.
Vascularization of bio printed renal tissue	Integrating a network of capillaries to ensure nutrient and oxygen supply to bioprinted renal tissue.	Laser-assisted bioprinting for integrating capillary networks.	Ensuring a viable vascular network and connecting it to systemic circulation.	Improves the viability of bioprinted renal tissue and increases transplant success rates.

3D bioprinting goes beyond the limits of traditional technologies, providing customized solutions for patients with kidney failure. The use of this technology not only improves tissue regeneration but also paves the way for bioartificial transplants, reducing dependence on human donors. Through its versatility and potential, 3D bioprinting represents a fundamental pillar of modern regenerative medicine [14-17].

Advances in kidney tissue regeneration and bioprinting for kidney transplantation

3D bioprinting has brought significant advances in the regeneration of kidney tissue, opening up new perspectives for treating kidney failure. By using bio-inks and stem cells, the researchers were able to create structures that mimic the complex functions of the kidneys, such as filtration and reabsorption. An important achievement is the development of functional renal tubules, obtained by integrating renal epithelial cells into biocompatible hydrogels. These structures demonstrated cellular viability and functionality in vitro, providing a solid foundation for future clinical applications [17-19].

Another notable advance is the development of bioprinted preclinical models, used to test new drug therapies or to understand the mechanisms of kidney disease. These three-dimensional models accurately reproduce the microarchitecture of renal tissue, allowing more relevant results to be obtained than those offered by animal models [17-20].

In terms of kidney transplantation, 3D bioprinting offers promising opportunities through the creation of personalized bioartificial organs. However, vascularization remains a major challenge, as the complex network of blood vessels in the kidney is difficult to recreate. However, recent research has demonstrated advances in the integration of capillaries into bioprinted structures, thus increasing the chances for the viability of bioartificial organs [13,17-20].

Another important aspect is the customization of the organs. Bioprinting allows the use of the patient's cells, reducing the risk of immunological rejection. This technology can also help reduce dependence on human donors by providing sustainable and affordable solutions in the long term [18-20]. Through advances, 3D bioprinting is transforming the approach to kidney regeneration and transplantation, bringing modern medicine closer to personalized and effective solutions for kidney failure [18-22].

Conclusions

3D bioprinting offers promising solutions for chronic kidney failure, being able to recreate functional structures such as renal tubules, which mimic the natural processes of filtration and reabsorption. This technology represents a viable alternative to traditional methods such as dialysis and kidney transplantation, opening up new opportunities for personalized and regenerative therapies.

Technological advances in bioprinting, such as extrusion, inkjet, and stereolithography bioprinting, facilitate the development of complex structures capable of replicating the microarchitecture and functions of the kidney. However, significant improvements are needed to overcome the challenges of detail resolution, durability of structures, and full cellular integration.

Bioprinted preclinical models are a valuable tool for research, allowing kidney disease to be simulated and new drug therapies to be tested more accurately and ethically. These three-dimensional models provide more relevant data compared to those obtained from animal models, accelerating the processes of development and validation of treatments.

The main challenges remain the integration of a functional vascular network and ensuring immunological compatibility, which is essential for the long-term support of bioprinted kidney tissue. Recent advances in the development of bioprinted capillaries and the use of autologous stem cells point to important steps toward overcoming these barriers, but further research is essential.

3D bioprinting has the potential to transform regenerative medicine, helping to reduce reliance on organ donors and increase the accessibility of advanced treatments. Although still in the experimental stage, this technology promises to revolutionize treatments for chronic kidney failure, requiring continuous investment in research and development to become a viable clinical solution.

References

1. Tonelli M., Wiebe N., Knoll G., Bello A., Browne S., Jadhav D., Klarenbach S., Gill J. *Systematic Review: Kidney Transplantation Compared with Dialysis in Clinically Relevant Outcomes*. **Am. J. Transplant.** 2011. 11:2093–2109. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03686.x.
2. Akbar S.A., Jafri S.Z.H., Amendola M.A., Madrazo B.L., Salem R., Bis K.G. *Complications of Renal Transplantation*. **Radiographics**. 2005. 25:1335–1356. doi: 10.1148/rg.255045133.
3. Pietrabissa A., Marconi S., Negrello E., Mauri V., Peri A., Pugliese L., Marone E.M., Auricchio F. *An Overview on 3D Printing for Abdominal Surgery*. **Surg. Endosc**. 2020. 34:1–13. doi: 10.1007/s00464-019-07155-5.
4. Christou C.D., Tsoulfas G. *Role of Three-Dimensional Printing and Artificial Intelligence in the Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Challenges and Opportunities.* **World J. Gastrointest. Oncol**. 2022. 14:765–793. doi: 10.4251/wjgo.v14.i4.765.
5. Hospodiuk M., Dey M., Sosnoski D., Ozbolat I.T. *The Bioink: A Comprehensive Review on Bioprintable Materials*. **Biotechnol. Adv.** 2017. 35:217–239. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2016.12.006.
6. Zadpoor A.A., Malda J. *Additive Manufacturing of Biomaterials, Tissues, and Organs*. **Ann. Biomed. Eng**. 2017. 45:1–11. doi: 10.1007/s10439-016-1719-y.
7. Melchels F.P.W., Domingos M.A.N., Klein T.J., Malda J., Bartolo P.J., Hutmacher D.W. *Additive Manufacturing of Tissues and Organs*. **Prog. Polym. Sci.** 2012. 37:1079–1104. doi: 10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2011.11.007.
8. MacKay S.M., Funke A.J., Buffington D.A., Humes H.D. *Tissue Engineering of a Bioartificial Renal Tubule. ASAIO J. Am. So*c. **Artif. Intern. Organs 1992.** 1998. 44:179–183. doi: 10.1097/00002480-199805000-00011.
9. Ziogas I.A., Zein N.N., Quintini C., Miller C.M., *Tsoulfas G. 3D Printing: Applications in Medicine and Surgery*. **Elsevier; Amsterdam, The Netherlands**: 2020. Chapter 7—Three-Dimensional (3D) Printing and Liver Transplantation; pp. 97–116.
10. Sun Z., Liu D. *A Systematic Review of Clinical Value of Three-Dimensional Printing in Renal Disease.* **Quant. Imaging Med. Surg**. 2018. 8:311–325. doi: 10.21037/qims.2018.03.09.

11. Peired A.J., Mazzinghi B., De Chiara L., Guzzi F., Lasagni L., Romagnani P., Lazzeri E. *Bioengineering Strategies for Nephrologists: Kidney Was Not Built in a Day*. **Expert Opin. Biol. Ther**. 2020. 20:467–480. doi: 10.1080/14712598.2020.1709439.
12. Uwechue R., Gogalniceanu P., Kessaris N., Byrne N., Chandak P., Olsburgh J., Ahmed K., Mamode N., Loukopoulos I. *A Novel 3D-Printed Hybrid Simulation Model for Robotic-Assisted Kidney Transplantation (RAKT) J*. **Robot. Surg**. 2018. 12:541–544. doi: 10.1007/s11701-018-0780-y.
13. Claflin J., Waits S.A. *Three Dimensionally Printed Interactive Training Model for Kidney Transplantation.* **J. Surg. Educ.** 2020. 77:1013–1017. doi: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2020.03.012.
14. Saba P., Belfast E., Melnyk R., Patel A., Kashyap R., Ghazi A. *Development of a High-Fidelity Robot-Assisted Kidney Transplant Simulation Platform Using Three-Dimensional Printing and Hydrogel Casting Technologies*. **J. Endourol.** 2020. 34:1088–1094. doi: 10.1089/end.2020.0441.
15. Sweet R.M. *The CREST Simulation Development Process: Training the Next Generation*. **J. Endourol.** 2017. 31:S69–S75. doi: 10.1089/end.2016.0613.
16. Turney B.W. *A New Model with an Anatomically Accurate Human Renal Collecting System for Training in Fluoroscopy-Guided Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy Access*. **J. Endourol**. 2014. 28:360–363. doi: 10.1089/end.2013.0616.
17. Adams F., Qiu T., Mark A., Fritz B., Kramer L., Schlager D., Wetterauer U., Miernik A., Fischer P. *Soft 3D-Printed Phantom of the Human Kidney with Collecting System.* **Ann. Biomed. Eng**. 2017. 45:963–972. doi: 10.1007/s10439-016-1757-5.
18. Trelles Guzmán C.R., Mainez Rodríguez J.A., Aguado-Maestro I., Cansino Alcaide R., Pérez-Carral J.R., Martínez-Piñeiro L. *3D Printed Model for Flexible Ureteroscopy Training, a Low-Cost Option for Surgical Training*. **Actas Urol. Esp**. 2022. 46:16–21. doi: 10.1016/j.acuro.2021.07.001.
19. Ho T.P., El-Sheikh M.F.A., Talbot D. *Case Report: Living Related Renal Transplantation with a Donor Kidney with Pelviureteric Junction Obstruction Using an Anderson-Hynes Pyeloplasty*. **Transplant. Proc**. 2002. 34:1193–1194. doi: 10.1016/S0041-1345(02)02633-7.
20. Lemarteleur V., Peycelon M., Sablayrolles J.-L., Plaisance P., El-Ghoneimi A., Ceccaldi P.-F. *Realization of Open Software Chain for 3D Modeling and Printing of Organs in Simulation Centers: Example of Renal Pelvis Reconstruction.* **J. Surg. Educ.** 2021. 78:232–244. doi: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2020.06.035.
21. Melnyk R., Ezzat B., Belfast E., Saba P., Farooq S., Campbell T., McAleavey S., Buckley M., Ghazi A. *Mechanical and Functional Validation of a Perfused, Robot-Assisted Partial Nephrectomy Simulation Platform Using a Combination of 3D Printing and Hydrogel Casting*. **World J. Urol**. 2020. 38:1631–1641. doi: 10.1007/s00345-019-02989-z.
22. Chandak P., Byrne N., Coleman A., Karunanithy N., Carmichael J., Marks S.D., Stojanovic J., Kessaris N., Mamode N. *Patient-Specific 3D Printing: A Novel Technique for Complex Pediatric Renal Transplantation.* **Ann. Surg**. 2019. 269:e18–e23. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003016.

Received: January 17, 2025
Accepted: March 10, 2025